

Atty. Dkt. No. K01-007  
(formerly 2291P)

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 2, 4, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 49-52 are requested to be canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32 are currently being amended.

This amendment adds, changes and/or deletes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 1, 3, 5-24, 26, 28, 30 and 23-48 are now pending in this application.

Claims 1-2 and 28-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,460,041 to Lloyd (hereinafter "Lloyd") in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0198878 to Baxter et al. (hereinafter "Baxter"). Claims 3 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd in view of Baxter. Claims 4-6, 10, 15-17, 31-33, 37 and 42-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd and Baxter, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,352 to Cohen (hereinafter "Cohen").

Claims 7-9, 24, 34-36 and 49 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd and Baxter, and further in view of Lemay. Claims 11-14, 18-23, 38-41 and 44-48 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd, Baxter, and Cohen and further in view of Lemay. Claims 25-27 and 50-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd, Baxter, and Lemay and further in view of Cohen. As to canceled claims 2, 4, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 49-52, the rejections are moot. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-24, 26, 28, 30 and 23-48 for at least the following reasons.

Atty. Dkt. No. K01-007  
(formerly 2291P)

The present invention relates to methods and tools for constructing a website.

Embodiments of the present invention allow construction of a "database driven website" where each web page is stored as a database record having fields that reference various parameters. One such parameter may be a page-type. As noted in Paragraph [0019] of the specification, a page-type may correspond to an application object, such as a calculator, calendar, web mail, catalog or a guestbook, for example. Thus, a web page may be stored as a database record with a field of the database record referencing a separately stored application object. Claims 10, 18, 37 and 44, as well as amended claims 1, 24 and 28, recite that "each page-type correspond[s] to an application object." Thus, the embodiments of the invention allow a user to create one or more web pages for the website by specifying parameters for said one or more web pages, wherein the parameters include the page-type of the web page.

None of the cited references teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. While the Examiner acknowledges that Lloyd does not specifically disclose "each page-type corresponding to an application object," the Office Action asserts that Lloyd discloses "displaying one of the one or more web pages on a computer by invoking the application object corresponding to the page type field ...." Applicant disagrees with this interpretation of Lloyd. Rather than disclosing or suggesting anything relating to invoking an application object, Lloyd merely discloses that the database server may include "executable logic that may dynamically retrieve data." Thus, Lloyd discloses accessing data, not invoking an application object such as a calendar or a calculator. Accordingly, Lloyd contains no teaching relating to an application object.

The Office Action cites Cohen as disclosing "providing a plurality of application objects corresponding to a plurality of page-types for processing web pages corresponding to the page-types when invoked." As noted in an earlier amendment, the cited sections of Cohen disclose a scripting program which allows a higher-order level of instructions embedded within a script with a set of new delimiters. The instructions do not teach or suggest the use of an application object to be invoked. In fact, nowhere does Cohen describe invoking an application object

Atty. Dkt. No. K01-007  
(formerly 2291P)

corresponding to a page-type parameter. Accordingly, Cohen fails to teach or suggest at least that feature of the independent claims.

Thus, independent claims 1, 10, 18, 24, 28, 37 and 44 are patentable. Claims 3, 5 and 6-9 depend, either directly or indirectly, from allowable claim 1 and are, therefore, patentable for at least that reason, as well as for additional patentable features when those claims are considered as a whole. Similarly, claims 11-16 depend from allowable claim 10, claims 19-23 depend from allowable claim 18, claim 26 depends from allowable claim 24, claims 30 and 32-36 depend from allowable claim 28, claims 38-43 depend from allowable claim 37 and claims 45-48 depend from allowable claim 44. Therefore, claims 11-16, 19-23, 26, 30, 32-36, 38-43 and 45-48 are patentable for at least that reason.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

Atty. Dkt. No. K01-007  
(formerly 2291P)

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1674. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 50-1674. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1674.

Respectfully submitted,

Date June 9, 2006

By Sanjeev K. Dhand

KINTERA, INC.  
Customer Number: 27309  
Telephone: (858) 795-8915  
Facsimile: (858) 795-3010

Sanjeev K. Dhand  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 51,182